

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/720,306	Applicant(s) YAMAJI, HIROTAKA	
	Examiner Creighton H. Smith	Art Unit 2614	

All Participants:

(1) Creighton H. Smith.

(2) Sean McGinn.
Status of Application: _____

(3) Phil Miller.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant's attorneys for a restriction requirement between claims 1-5, 15, 16 of Group I and claims 6-14 of Group II. Mr. McGinn elected Group I claims with traverse. On 11 APR '07 examiner called back again telling Mr. Miller that group I claims were allowable and requesting that Group II claims be cancelled by examiner's amendment. Mr. Miller agreed to cancel the Group II claims by examiner's amendment.